

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/053,697	01/24/2002	Tetsuya Matsui	381HI/50780	7835
7590 08/03/2005 Crowell & Moring LLP The Evenson, McKeown, Edwards & Lenahan Intellectual Property Law Gr. 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			EXAMINER BORISSOV, IGOR N	
			3639	
			Washington, DC 20004-2595	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/053,697	MATSUI ET AL.	
Examiner		Art Unit	
	Igor Borissov	3639	



Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)	Application No.
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a N this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendar places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Tollowing time periods:	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, which fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	g date of the final rejection. I THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally s above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41:37 r of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4: Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time perio AMENDMENTS	1.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (solution) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate appeal; and/or	erially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of fi	• •
NOTE: The proposed amendment raises new issues because introducing the based on the evaluation results of said process 4, evaluates the equipments to are released" changes the scope of Claim 12, which was not addressed during	hat reduce said chemical substances which g prosecution of the Claim. As per
applicant's argument that the prior art does not disclose a plurality of different features upon which applicant relies are not recited in the rejected claim(s). All the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Claim 15 does not recite different type of equipular plurality of equipment. Therefore, based on: Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Different word equipment as encompassing plural items used in operation or activity.	though the claims are interpreted in light of See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 ipment. The Claim recites "a combination of ctionary; 10 th ed., the examiner understands
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a set the non-allowable claim(s). 	eparate, timely filed amendment canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:) will be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filed because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ling a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered affidavit or other evidence is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but price entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier preser	er appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a nted. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	s after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application and the second s	cation in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) 13. Other:	Paper No(s)
•	

8/01/2005